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Out of Harm’s Way: Preventing Toxic Threats to Child Development

Why Breast-Feeding is Still Best for Baby

Each of us wants to start our babies off with the best possible nutrition and health protection. Doctors advise that breast-feeding is the best start for your child. You may have heard recent reports that pollution in the environment can make it into the breast milk of nursing mothers. Does that mean that it is no longer safe to breast-feed? The answer is that despite concerns about pollutants, breast-feeding is by far the best form of nutrition for your baby.

Both breast milk and infant formula provide infants with essential nutrients. However, breast-feeding provides important health benefits when compared with infant formula alone. In addition, infant formula itself can also be a source of chemical exposure. This fact sheet provides women, their families, and their health care providers with summary of currently available scientific evidence, which continues to indicate that breast is still best.
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Summary

- Breast-feeding has proven, significant health benefits over infant formula for both mother and child.
- Both infant formula and breast milk may contain chemicals of concern. The benefits of breast-feeding, however, greatly outweigh any health risk associated with chemicals in breast milk.
- To date, no harmful effects have been demonstrated from typical chemical exposures from breast-feeding. Infants fed breast milk that is relatively high in PCBs, however, may lose some of the advantages normally associated with breast-feeding, making them more like formula-fed babies in their susceptibility to infections.
- Considering all available scientific evidence, breast-feeding is still the best way a mother can feed her infant.
- Mothers and mothers-to-be can reduce breast milk contaminants by reducing animal fat in their diet. This is even more effective if a diet low in animal fat is begun well in advance of pregnancy.
- Reducing/eliminating the production and use of harmful chemicals is the best way to protect mothers and babies from the threat of chemical contamination.
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Why Breast-Feeding is Still Best for Baby

With all the concern about contaminants in breast milk, should women breast-feed their babies?

Yes. Even though chemicals from the environment are commonly found in breast milk, there is compelling evidence that breast-feeding is still the healthiest way to feed infants. While the contaminants in breast milk are of concern, studies to date do not demonstrate increased health risks from typical breast milk contamination. On the other hand, studies do show increased health risks from feeding infants formula rather than breast milk. Studies of both infant and maternal health show that breast-feeding continues to provide important and unique benefits. For this reason, the American Academy of Pediatrics characterizes human breast milk as uniquely superior for infant feeding over all substitutes. In short, considering all currently available scientific evidence, breast is still best.

What are some of the benefits? Research shows that breast-feeding reduces the risk for a wide range of diseases, including both life-threatening and mild illness. There is strong evidence that breast-fed infants are less likely to develop a wide variety of illnesses such as pneumonia, diarrhea, ear infections, bacterial meningitis, bacteremia, urinary infections, and bacterial infections of the intestines. And, if one of these illnesses does develop, it is likely to be less severe among breast-fed infants than in infants who’ve been formula fed. There is good evidence that breast-feeding also improves intellectual development and reduces the risks of asthma, obesity, cancer, and diabetes. There is suggestive evidence that at least some of these benefits increase in infants that are breast-fed for longer periods of time.

Mothers benefit too. Many studies also indicate breast-feeding benefits the health of mothers. These benefits include less postpartum bleeding, earlier return to pre-pregnancy weight, improved bone strength, fewer hip fractures in later life, and reduced risk of ovarian and premenopausal breast cancers.

What are the health impacts on babies exposed to chemicals from mother’s breast milk? Many environmental contaminants found in food, air, and water make their way into our bodies. Some of these chemical contaminants are “persistent,” that is, stored in body tissues where they build up over long periods of time. Other chemicals are cleared from the body rapidly. Both kinds of chemicals can readily pass from the mother’s body into the fetus and into breast milk, resulting in early life exposures. Although chemical exposures before birth have been shown to have adverse effects, exposures through breast-feeding have not been shown to cause harm.

Although chemical contamination of breast milk has not been shown to cause adverse health effects, it does, however, increase the amount of these chemicals that are stored in the bodies of breast-fed children. This increased “body-burden” lasts throughout childhood.

Environmental contaminants – also of concern in formula feeding. Environmental contaminants are “persistent,” that is, they remain in the environment for years, decades, or more, and easily pass from the mother to fetus or nursing infant. As long as we produce and use products containing toxic chemicals, women are exposed to environmental contaminants. By reducing their own exposure to environmental chemicals, women can reduce the contaminants in their breast milk.

While chemical contamination of breast milk has not been shown to cause adverse health effects, it does, however, increase the amount of these chemicals that are stored in the bodies of breast-fed children.

Environmental contaminants are “persistent,” that is, they remain in the environment for years, decades, or more, and easily pass from the mother to fetus or nursing infant. As long as we produce and use products containing toxic chemicals, women are exposed to environmental contaminants. By reducing their own exposure to environmental chemicals, women can reduce the contaminants in their breast milk.

Breast-feeding also has a positive impact on attachment and behavior.

Research suggests that breast-feeding improves intellectual development and reduces obesity and diabetes. There is suggestive evidence that at least some of these benefits increase in infants that are breast-fed for longer periods of time.

Mothers benefit too. Many studies also indicate breast-feeding benefits the health of mothers. These benefits include less postpartum bleeding, earlier return to pre-pregnancy weight, improved bone strength, fewer hip fractures in later life, and reduced risk of ovarian and premenopausal breast cancers.

What are the health impacts on babies exposed to chemicals from mother’s breast milk? Many environmental contaminants found in food, air, and water make their way into our bodies. Some of these chemical contaminants are “persistent,” that is, stored in body tissues where they build up over long periods of time. Other chemicals are cleared from the body rapidly. Both kinds of chemicals can readily pass from the mother’s body into the fetus and into breast milk, resulting in early life exposures. Although chemical exposures before birth have been shown to have adverse effects, exposures through breast-feeding have not been shown to cause harm.

While chemical contamination of breast milk has not been shown to cause adverse health effects, it does, however, increase the amount of these chemicals that are stored in the bodies of breast-fed children. This increased “body-burden” lasts throughout childhood.

Environmental contaminants – also of concern in formula feeding. Environmental contaminants are “persistent,” that is, they remain in the environment for years, decades, or more, and easily pass from the mother to fetus or nursing infant. As long as we produce and use products containing toxic chemicals, women are exposed to environmental contaminants. By reducing their own exposure to environmental chemicals, women can reduce the contaminants in their breast milk.

While chemical contamination of breast milk has not been shown to cause adverse health effects, it does, however, increase the amount of these chemicals that are stored in the bodies of breast-fed children. This increased “body-burden” lasts throughout childhood.

Reducing or ending the production of harmful chemicals is the best way to protect mothers and babies.

Many toxic chemicals in food are “persistent,” that is, they remain in the environment for years, decades, or more, and build up in the body. Other harmful chemicals in consumer products and the environment are not persistent, but pass easily from mother to fetus or nursing infant. As long as we produce and use them, we risk contamination of the environment, our bodies, and the nourishment of our children, especially in the earliest and most vulnerable period of life. Decreasing or ending the production and use of harmful chemicals is the best way to safeguard breast-feeding and fetal development, and to ensure children the healthy start they deserve.